A Muscle Transposition Procedure for Abducens Palsy, in Which the Halves of the Vertical Rectus Muscle Bellies Are Sutured Onto the Sclera
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Purpose: To review the results of a muscle transposition procedure in which the halves of the vertical rectus muscle bellies are sutured onto the sclera, without tenotomy of vertical recti as in Hummelsheim’s procedure or surgical treatment of the lateral rectus (LR) as in Jensen’s procedure.

Methods: Ten patients with abducens palsy received the procedure. We measured the ocular deviation and the field of single binocular vision, and observed the LR using magnetic resonance imaging (MRI).

Results: Preoperative or postoperative deviation was distributed from $+27$ to $+58$ prism diopters (PD) or orthophoria to $+12$ PD, respectively, in 7 patients with unilateral paresis, and $+75$ to $+120$ PD or $+2$ to $+37$ PD in 3 patients with bilateral paresis. The average correction was 42.4 PD per eye. Seven patients were able to regain the field of single binocular vision at least in the primary position. No postoperative complications were observed. MRI showed that the LR was atrophic and floppy, lacking muscle tension.

Conclusions: Our procedure enabled the patients to obtain satisfactory postoperative results without treatment of the LR or tenotomy of the transposed muscles. This procedure can reduce operative damage to the eye more than Hummelsheim’s or Jensen’s procedure.
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Introduction

For large angle esotropia due to complete abducens palsy, various muscle transposition procedures have been developed.1–3 Among these procedures, Hummelsheim’s4 and Jensen’s procedures5 are especially popular. In Jensen’s procedure, the superior, inferior, and lateral recti (SR, IR, and LR) are longitudinally split. The lateral half of the SR or IR is respectively joined to the superior or inferior half of the LR. Unlike Hummelsheim’s procedure, Jensen’s does not require tenotomy in the transposed muscles, but does require muscle union. Therefore, Jensen’s procedure is generally regarded as causing less damage to the operative eye than Hummelsheim’s because the anterior ciliary artery in the transposed muscles can be preserved. However, in Jensen’s procedure it is necessary to split not only both SR and IR, but also the paretic LR into two halves and join them together. We had some doubts about whether splitting and transposing the paretic LR, as in Jensen’s procedure, was necessary for correcting eye position because transposition of the paretic LR halves reduces abductional force. Moreover, medial rectus (MR) recession is often combined with Jensen’s procedure in cases of complete abducens palsy. When they are combined, there is no intact rectus muscle in the operative eye whereas the LR remains intact in Hummelsheim’s procedure.

For these reasons, we introduced a muscle transposition procedure for complete abducens palsy in which only the vertical muscle halves are fixed with anchoring sutures.
onto the sclera, instead of vertical muscle tenotomy as in Hummelsheim’s procedure or LR splitting and transposition as in Jensen’s procedure. In the present paper, we review the results of our procedure.

**Materials and Methods**

Since 1984, we have performed the muscle transposition procedure for abducens palsy, as shown in Figure 1. Ten patients with complete abducens palsy were operated on using this procedure in our hospital. Before surgery, we obtained informed consent concerning the operative procedure from all patients. We reviewed the sex of each patient, cause of palsy, laterality of the paretic eye, age at surgery, duration from onset to surgery, laterality of the operative eye in muscle transposition and in the combined MR recession, and the follow-up period after the surgery. To evaluate the postoperative results, we measured the angle of squint in the primary eye position at distance and the area of single binocular vision before surgery, 1 month after surgery, and at the final examination. The angle of squint in the paretic eye was measured by using a prism cover test or Krimsky prism test. The field of single binocular vision was measured on a Hess screen chart within 30° from the center at a distance of 1 meter under binocular vision. A head strap was used to prevent head rotation during the examination. Moreover, an orbital T1-weighted magnetic resonance imaging (MRI) examination was performed on 6 patients after surgery in order to observe the paretic LR.

For muscle transposition in the left eye, a radial conjunctival incision was made at halfway between 1 and 2 o’clock, and halfway between 4 and 5 o’clock. Peritomy was performed from the upper radial incision site to 12 o’clock and from the lower radial incision site to 6 o’clock. Then the vertical recti and the scleral surfaces were explored. Intermuscular septum and fascia along the lateral margin of the vertical recti were carefully dissected away. Each vertical muscle belly was longitudinally split from the center of the muscle insertion for about 15 mm with a short muscle hook. At the lateral margin of each vertical rectus 8 to 10 mm posterior to the insertion, two 6-0 nylon monofilaments were inserted, being careful not to strangulate the artery in the muscle. They were also inserted at the sclera beside the superior or inferior margin of the LR, 8 mm posterior to the LR insertion. Then the lateral halves of the vertical rectus muscle bellies were transposed to the scleral point beside the superior or inferior margin of the LR and were sutured onto the sclera so that the transposed muscle bellies could be fixed. One scleral suture was added on the inside edge of each muscle transposed to the sclera. The LR received no surgical treatment. In some patients, the recession of the MR was combined with the transposition procedure.

**Results**

Profiles of the 10 patients are shown in Table 1. The patients consisted of 5 men and 5 women. The cause of abducens palsy was trauma in 8 patients, a brain tumor in 1, and was unknown in the other. Seven patients had abducens palsy in the unilateral eye (5 patients in the right eye, 2 patients in the left) and 3 patients in both eyes. Their ages at surgery ranged from 8 to 72 years (mean ± SD, 36.8 ± 21.9 years). The duration from onset to surgery ranged from 11 to 171 months (mean ± SD, 63.5 ± 65.8 months). Muscle transposition was performed in the unilateral eye of 8 patients and in both eyes of 2 patients. MR recession from 5 to 7 mm was combined with muscle transposition in 4 patients (recession in the right eye in 2 patients, recession in both eyes in 2).

Because abduction in the paretic eye was very poor in all patients, the eye could not move beyond the midline, and the eye position was obviously esotropic. Table 2...
Table 1. Profiles of 10 Patients with abducens Palsy

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Patient No.</th>
<th>Sex</th>
<th>Cause</th>
<th>Laterality</th>
<th>Age at Surgery (y)</th>
<th>Duration: Onset to Surgery (mo)</th>
<th>Mus Tr</th>
<th>MR Rec (nm)</th>
<th>LR Atrophy in MRI</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>M</td>
<td>Tumor</td>
<td>R</td>
<td>17</td>
<td>11</td>
<td>R</td>
<td>R, 5</td>
<td>NP</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>F</td>
<td>Trauma</td>
<td>B</td>
<td>48</td>
<td>24</td>
<td>B</td>
<td>B, 5</td>
<td>Yes</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>F</td>
<td>Trauma</td>
<td>R</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>24</td>
<td>R</td>
<td>NP</td>
<td>NP</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>M</td>
<td>Trauma</td>
<td>B</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>24</td>
<td>B</td>
<td>NP</td>
<td>NP</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>F</td>
<td>Trauma</td>
<td>R</td>
<td>22</td>
<td>18</td>
<td>R</td>
<td>NP</td>
<td>Yes</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td>M</td>
<td>Trauma</td>
<td>L</td>
<td>47</td>
<td>25</td>
<td>L</td>
<td>NP</td>
<td>Yes</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7</td>
<td>F</td>
<td>Trauma</td>
<td>R</td>
<td>61</td>
<td>162</td>
<td>R</td>
<td>NP</td>
<td>No</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8</td>
<td>M</td>
<td>Trauma</td>
<td>L</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>30</td>
<td>L</td>
<td>NP</td>
<td>Yes</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9</td>
<td>M</td>
<td>MS</td>
<td>B</td>
<td>72</td>
<td>171</td>
<td>L</td>
<td>B, 5</td>
<td>Yes</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10</td>
<td>F</td>
<td>Trauma</td>
<td>R</td>
<td>53</td>
<td>29</td>
<td>R</td>
<td>R, 7</td>
<td>NP</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

y: years, mo: months, Mus Tr: muscle transposition, MR Rec: medial rectus recession, LR: lateral rectus, MRI: magnetic resonance image, MS: multiple sclerosis, R: right, L: left, B: bilateral, NP: not performed.

shows the preoperative and postoperative angle of squint in the primary position at distance. The preoperative deviation was distributed from +27 to +58 prism diopters (PD) in the 7 patients with unilateral paresis, and from +75 to +120 PD in the 3 patients with bilateral paresis. The postoperative deviation 1 month after surgery was distributed from orthophoria to +12 PD in unilateral paresis, and from +5 to +36 PD in bilateral paresis. The duration of follow-up after surgery ranged from 4 to 187 months (mean ± SD, 58.3 ± 69.8 months). At the final examination, the postoperative deviation was distributed from orthophoria to +14 PD in unilateral paresis, and from +2 to +37 PD in bilateral paresis. It is possible that the postoperative eye position was very stable as shown by the maximal angle change to esodeviation; it was only 3 PD (in patient 2) from 1 month after the surgery to the final examination, although the follow-up duration in patients 1, 4, and 9 was less than 12 months. The average correction after our procedure was 42.4 ± 10.9 PD per eye, when the total correction in patients who had both eyes operated on was divided in half.

In 9 patients, excluding Patient 5, who had no binocular function due to visual suppression, there was no field of single binocular vision before surgery. Seven (ie, patients 1, 3, 4, 6, 7, 8, and 10) of the 9 patients partially regained the field of single binocular vision at least in the primary position after surgery. Figure 2 shows the range of single binocular vision after surgery in these patients.

Patient 2, who had bilateral abducens palsy, could not regain the field of single binocular vision after the surgery in both eyes, due to an ocular deviation in horizontal version. However, she was satisfied with surgical correction from +120 to +12 PD, and her abnormal head posture greatly improved. In Patient 6, ocular deviation of +12 PD remained 1 month after surgery. He had no wish for further medial rectus recession, in spite of our recommendation. In Patient 9 with bilateral abducens palsy, ocular deviation of +36 PD remained 1 month after surgery.

Table 2. Preoperative and Postoperative Angle of Deviation and Follow-up Duration After Surgery

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Patient No.</th>
<th>Preoperative Deviation (PD)</th>
<th>After 1 month</th>
<th>At Final Examination</th>
<th>Follow-up Duration (mo)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>+27</td>
<td>Orthophoria</td>
<td>Orthophoria</td>
<td>9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2*</td>
<td>+120</td>
<td>+9</td>
<td>+12</td>
<td>187</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>+35</td>
<td>+3</td>
<td>Orthophoria</td>
<td>20</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4*</td>
<td>+75</td>
<td>+5</td>
<td>+2</td>
<td>6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>+47</td>
<td>Orthophoria</td>
<td>Orthophoria</td>
<td>164</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td>+58</td>
<td>+12</td>
<td>+14</td>
<td>48</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7</td>
<td>+30</td>
<td>Orthophoria</td>
<td>Orthophoria</td>
<td>112</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8</td>
<td>+40</td>
<td>+2</td>
<td>+3</td>
<td>13</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9*</td>
<td>+94</td>
<td>+36</td>
<td>+37</td>
<td>4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10</td>
<td>+56</td>
<td>Orthophoria</td>
<td>Orthophoria</td>
<td>20</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

PD: prism diopters, mo: months.
*Patients with bilateral abducens palsy.
Figure 2. The range of single binocular vision in the horizontal axis in 7 patients who partially regained the field of single binocular vision. The arrowheads mean that the area extends beyond 30º on either side. The seven numerals from 1 to 10 are the patient numbers. P.P.: primary position.

Figure 3. (Top) The representative orbital magnetic resonance imaging (MRI) image for Patient 5 with right abducens palsy and (bottom) for Patient 2 with bilateral abducens palsy. The arrowheads show that the paretic lateral rectus is obviously atrophic and laterally slack. Note that the right eye is on the left side in these MRI images.

Discussion

By suturing the margin of the lateral halves of the vertical rectus muscle bellies onto the sclera, our procedure enabled us to horizontally transpose the vertical muscle bellies without tenotomy as in Hummelsheim’s procedure, or without muscle union as in Jensen’s procedure in which not only the vertical recti, but also the LR must be split. Thus we think that lateral rectus splitting as in Jensen’s procedure is unnecessary for the following reasons.

The first concerns anterior segment ischemia. The anterior ciliary artery running through each rectus muscle plays a crucial role in the circulation of the anterior ocular segment.6 There were many previous reports7–12 concerning anterior segment ischemia due to anterior ciliary arterial insufficiency after strabismus surgery. This complication is closely related to the number of tenotomy procedures in the rectus muscles. It is generally regarded that anterior segment ischemia more often occurs when full thickness vertical muscle transposition is combined with horizontal rectus recession.8,10,11 The fluorescein iris angiography studies in humans13 and monkeys14 show that the anterior ciliary arteries in the vertical recti play...
a more crucial role in the blood supply to the anterior ocular segment than those in the horizontal recti. Therefore, vertical rectus transposition should be performed carefully. Compared to other procedures, Jensen’s procedure is generally regarded as a safer one with less possibility of anterior segment ischemia because it does not require tenotomy of any recti. Helveston recommended Jensen’s procedure for patients who require a combined MR recession. However, anterior segment ischemia cannot be completely avoided even in Jensen’s procedure. There are some reports that anterior segment ischemia occurred after Jensen’s procedure. Moreover, one report showed that Jensen’s procedure caused ischemia even in a healthy child. A fluorescein iris angiography study also showed that delayed filling occurred even after Jensen’s procedure. Therefore, it is possible that even if only splitting and union of vertical recti and LR without tenotomy is performed, as in Jensen’s procedure, vascular damage does occur. Moreover, Von Noorden suggested that a circulatory disturbance may occur due to strangulation of the transposed bellies in Jensen’s muscle union.

The second reason why lateral rectus splitting is unnecessary is concerned with the problem of the kinetics in Jensen’s procedure. In the procedure, the LR belly must be divided into superior and inferior halves. Then, they are transposed at the temporo-superior and temporo-inferior halfway points, respectively. However, the original horizontal tension of the LR decreases while the vertical tension secondarily increases. This kinetic transformation in the LR is not suitable for the operative purposes. Furthermore, the MRI findings in our 5 patients suggested that the paretic LR was atrophic and floppy with little muscular tension. Even if some tension might remain in the paretic LR, there must be a substantial difference between the paretic LR tension and healthy vertical muscle tension. We doubt whether the healthy vertical rectus bellies can be retained at the halfway point by only joining the muscles together. Theoretically, the healthy transposed vertical rectus muscles may return to their original position, due to substantial differences between the healthy and paretic muscles. Consequently, the operative effect may be decreased, as shown in Figure 4. A previous report also showed that the surgical effect in Jensen’s procedures was reduced in two cases, although the cause was not mentioned.

Therefore, we concluded that splitting and transposing the paretic LR was not beneficial for the safety and effect of the surgery, and that only healthy vertical muscle bellies should be transposed by suturing them onto the sclera without any surgical treatment to the paretic LR. It is possible that our procedure causes less operative damage to the eye than Jensen’s or Hummelsheim’s procedure, and that the transposed muscle bellies in our procedure are more stably fixed than in Jensen’s procedure. However, we have performed our procedure in only 10 cases and did not perform a comparative study with Jensen’s or Hummelsheim’s procedure. Moreover, our procedure is easier and safer for further surgical correction in patients on whom a recession-resection procedure in the horizontal recti has already been performed, because our procedure requires neither surgical treatment to the horizontal recti nor tenotomy of the vertical recti. On the other hand, when botulinum toxic injection to the MR is combined with our procedure, the surgery will be safer because the MR can remain surgically intact.

In our procedure, the average correction of esotropia was 42.4 PD per eye, while we were unable to separately evaluate the results with or without medial rectus recession due to the small number of patients. In Jensen’s procedure, the average correction per eye was 31 PD reported by Frueh, 38 PD by Selezinka, 50 PD by Scott, 51 PD by Cline, or 41 PD by Maruo. In Hummelsheim’s procedure, it was 52 PD reported by Brooks, or 41 PD by Neugebauer. Therefore, our results concerning surgical correction of the eye position were similar to these previous results. In the 8 patients in whom the intended operation could be performed, the postoperative eye deviation was less than +5 PD in 7 eyes, and +12 PD in one. Seven of 9 patients who had binocular function could regain the field of single binocular vision.
at least in the primary position, while 2 patients were unable to regain it because of poor abduction or the impossibility of further surgery. These results were in no way inferior to those in previous studies.\textsuperscript{15,21,22,25}

In conclusion, this procedure without treatment of the LR or tenotomy of the transposed muscles can reduce operative damage to the eye more than Hummelsheim’s or Jensen’s procedure, and can enable patients to obtain postoperative results similar to those in previous reports.
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